28

//

II. Plaintiff's Motion to Strike

Plaintiff's motion to strike asks the Court to strike Defendant's opposition to one of her motions. Docket No. 79 at 1 (seeking to strike Docket No. 74). For the same reason as discussed above, the motion to strike is hereby **DENIED** because the opposition brief at issue is not a pleading.

III. Plaintiff's Motion for a More Definite Statement

Plaintiff's motion for more definite statement seeks relief related to Defendant's motion to dismiss (Docket No. 54) and Defendant's motion to strike (Docket No. 64). United States District Judge Andrew P. Gordon has granted Defendant's motion to dismiss, Docket No. 94, and the undersigned has denied Defendant's motion to strike as stated in Section I above. Accordingly, the motion for a more definite statement is **DENIED** as moot.

IV. Conclusion

For the reasons stated more fully above, Defendant's motion to strike (Docket No. 64) is **DENIED**, Plaintiff's motion to strike (Docket No. 79) is **DENIED**, and Plaintiff's motion for a more definite statement (Docket No. 80) is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 8, 2016

NANCY J. KOPPE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE